

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,345	01/23/2002	Yasuhiro Matsushima	1035-360	4084
23117 7	590 02/27/2004		EXAM	INER
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 1100 N GLEBE ROAD			PARKER, KENNETH	
8TH FLOOR	DIOND		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201-4714		2871	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/052,345 MATSUSHIMA, YASUHIRO		UHIRO			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kenneth A Parker	2871	AN			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess			
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	IONTH(S) FROM				
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thin it will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comr BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 i	November 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>9-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16 and 23-30</u> is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>19-22</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
	on Papers			•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		,			
<i>,</i> —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac		by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO	-152.			
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language process of the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for domesting the priority document is made of a claim for document is made of a c	nts have been received. Its have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not of the certified copies not oritic priority under 35 U.S.C. Its sentence of the specific provisional application has botic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No I received in this National Storeceived. § 119(e) (to a provisional a sation or in an Application Direcen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	pplication) ata Sheet. specific			
Attachmen		∧ □	Summany (DTO 442) Danas No(a)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). nformal Patent Application (PTO-1				

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language "wherein an additional capacity section comprises the picture element electrode and the additional capacity electrode" is indefinite, as

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The new subject matter is the semiconductor layer below the TFT. The TFT's contain the semiconductor layer in the embodiments of this specification.

Art Unit: 2871

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Zhang 5717224.

Claims 21-22 include"A semiconductor device comprising a substrate, a picture element electrode provided on said substrate; a thin film transistor for driving said picture element electrode, a conductive light shielding layer provided above said thin film transistor and below said picture element electrode, wherein said conductive light shielding layer is provided on a flattened layer which is comprised of an organic material. All elements are shown in the cover figure.

Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Matsushima 05257164.

Claim 19-20 include:a thin film transistor for driving said picture element electrode, provided on said substrate, and <u>a conductive light shielding layer</u> provided above said thin film transistor <u>and below said picture element electrode</u>, wherein said

Art Unit: 2871

conductive light shielding layer is provided on a flattened layer and <u>comprises part of an</u> additional <u>capacity section</u>. All elements are shown in the cover figure.

Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Miyawaki et al.

Miyawaki et al shows in figure 6 a layer considerably smoother than the layer below it, therefore meeting the limitation of being flattened.

Claim 19-20 inlcude: a thin film transistor for driving said picture element electrode, provided on said substrate', and a conductive light shielding layer provided above said thin film transistor and below said picture element electrode, wherein said conductive light shielding layer is provided on a flattened layer and comprises part of an additional capacity section. All elements are shown in the cover figure.

Election/Restrictions

Claims are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected embodiment, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No

Art Unit: 2871

Further, claims 17-18 are withdrawn, as clearly corresponding to non-elected species. Claim 17 has a "bus" wiring above the organic film, whereas figure 1 only has bus wirings below the film. Please note, (as best understood, in that the no "bus" wiring is above).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claim have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2871

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-16 and 23-30 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth A Parker whose telephone number is 571-272-

2298. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-

1562.

Kehneth A Parker Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2871